

European Workshop on WFD & Hydromorphology, Prague, 17-19 Oct 2005

Workshop Session IV

- Hydromorphological risk assessment criteria
- Criteria for identifying and designation of hmwb

AUSTRIA

Veronika Koller-Kreimel

*Federal Ministry for Agriculture, Forestry,
Environment and Water Management, Vienna*
veronika.koller-kreimel@lebensministerium.at



lebensministerium.at

Helena Mühlmann


*Fed. Agency for Water Management,
Vienna*
helena.muehlmann@baw.at





lebensministerium.at

Hydromorphological Risk Criteria



Significant* Uses	Rivers	Lakes
Power generation	X	X
Flood defense	X	X
Agriculture	X	x
Urban development	x	x
Infrastructure	x	x
Industry	x	x
Navigation	X (Danube)	(x)
Uses by water abstraction (industry, tourism, ...)	X	X
Creation of artificial wb (fishponds, historical canals, ...)	X	X


* By use of impact data it is guaranteed that „unsignificant“ uses are not underestimated




PRESSURE /IMPACT indicator	At risk	Possibly at risk
WATER ABSTRACTION MQ of residual flow (compared to natural MJNQ _T)	- MQ _{res} < MJNQ _T and < NQ _T - no obligations for residual flow	Figure for MQ _{res} unknown
HYDRO PEAKING flow amplitude	-large rivers: any existing -small/medium: flow amplitude > 1:5	----
MIGRATION BARRIERS* <div style="background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin: 5px 0;"> <i>rivers MQ < 0,2 m3/s > 0,2 m3/s</i> - type Rhitral: 30 cm 70 cm - type Potamal: 10 cm 30 cm </div> number of MB within water body <i>in rivertypes < 500 m (altitude):</i> 500-1.200 m > 1.200 m	1 or more than 1 - -	- 1 or more than 1 2 or more than 2



PRESSURE /IMPACT indicator	Wb „at risk“	Wb „possibly at risk“
<p>IMPOUNDMENTS (rivers > 100 km²: backwater > 500m) (rivers < 100 km²: backwater > 100m)</p>	<p>single or several (same character) or chain of impoundments or many (small) impoundments (~ more than 15% of wb length)</p>	<p>one or few (small) impoundments (~ less than 15% of wb length)</p>
<p>RIVER ASSESSMENT of MORPHOLOGICAL ALTERATIONS Typespecific parameters: channel patterns, sediment structure, bank structure, riparian vegetation, etc. (5-class system) length of wb > class 2</p>	<p>more than 70% in class >2 or more than 30% in class >3</p>	<p>30%-70% in class > 2</p>
<p>Lakes: WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS (damage of riparion/litoral zone) Amplitude of monthly min and max</p>	<p>> 1 m</p>	<p>---</p>







Criteria for Identification and Designation of HMWB


AUSTRIA


Designation process for “provisional” hmwb identification




lebensministerium.at

(Identification of hmwb „candidates“)









Monitoring results proved that status is less than „good“

Significant adverse effect ...

- **wider environment:** negative impact on habitats /species of FFH-Directive, Birds Directive, ...

- **specified uses**

HYDROPOWER : (national level)

- reduction **renewable energy production** - possibility for replacement?
- reduction of **security of supply**
- Reduction of „**Regelleistung**“
- **financial loss**
- **costs** of restoration measures - disproportionality

- many open questions
- time pressure

FLOOD PROTECTION:

- ability to gain land ?????? – detailed information needed
- Costs of restoration measures ??? – How many km are really needed for restoration?
- Public acceptance (catastrophies of the last 3 years)